NOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C.

RECEIVED)
----------	---

CCT 28 2000

In the Matter of)	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Amendment of Section 73.622(b) of The Commission's Rules, DTV)	MM Docket No. 00-201 RM No. 9919
Table of Allotments)	144110. 9319
(Portsmouth, Virginia))	

To: The Chief, Allocations Branch:

COMMENTS

- 1. Viacom Broadcasting of Seattle Inc. ("Viacom"), the licensee of television station WGNT-DT, Portsmouth, Virginia, hereby submits comments in support of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the above-captioned proceeding.
- 2. Viacom is the licensee of television station WGNT-TV, Portsmouth, Virginia, which currently operates on NTSC Channel 27. On November 15, 1999, Viacom filed with the Commission a petition for rulemaking ("petition") to amend the Table of Allotments for the Digital Television service to change the DTV allotment for WGNT-DT from Channel 19 to Channel 50. On May 1, 2000, Viacom filed an amended petition to propose maximized facilities for WGNT-DT on channel 50. However, to avoid any potential interference concerns, Viacom subsequently filed an amended petition on September 20, 2000, with an engineering exhibit to reduce WGNT-DT's proposed operation on channel 50 from 890 kilowatts to 800 kilowatts. On October 17, 2000, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeking comment on Viacom's proposed channel change.
- 3. In support of its petition, Viacom submitted an engineering exhibit by Hammett & Edison, Inc. indicating that the first channel adjacent allotments of WGNT-DT and WUND-DT, Columbia, North Carolina are in such close proximity to one another that each of the stations is the most significant interference contributor within the other station's service area. In addition to this adjacent-channel interference problem, Viacom demonstrated that any future power increases by either WGNT-DT or WUND-DT would be severely constrained by the need to protect the service area of the other station. Viacom resubmits the engineering exhibit from its September 20, 2000, amended petition in support of its comments herein.

No. of Copies rec'd 5

- 4. Viacom thus supports amending the DTV Table of Allotments to substitute Channel 50 in place of Channel 19 at Portsmouth, Virginia, for the use of WGNT-DT. As demonstrated in the attached engineering exhibit, the allocation of Channel 50 at the WGNT-DT reference coordinates would be fully-spaced pursuant to the spacing criteria for new DTV allocations set forth in Section 73.622(d) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §73.622(d). Moreover, such a change would result in less than *de minimis* interference to other stations or DTV allotments and would eliminate the very real potential for interference between WGNT-DT and WUND-DT. Viacom intends to promptly file an application for Channel 50, should the Commission so amend the DTV Table of Allotments.
- 5. Accordingly, Viacom respectfully, requests that the Commission amend the Table of Allotments to allot and assign DTV Channel 50 (in lieu of Channel 19) to Portsmouth, Virginia, for use by WGNT-DT.

Respectfully submitted,

By: ____

Raymond A. White Regulatory Counsel

Viacom Broadcasting of Seattle c/o CBS/Paramount Stations Group 600 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 457-4514

October 19, 2000

Viacom Broadcasting of Seattle Inc. Station WGNT-DT Portsmouth, Virginia

Engineering Exhibit in Support of Petition for Rulemaking

September 14, 2000

©2000 All rights reserved.



Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained by Viacom Broadcasting of Seattle Inc., licensee of TV Station WGNT, NTSC Channel 27, Portsmouth, Virginia, to prepare an engineering exhibit in support of its petition for rulemaking to amend the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b), to replace the DTV Channel 19 allotment at Portsmouth with DTV Channel 50.

Background

TV Station WGNT is presently licensed to operate on NTSC Channel 27, serving Portsmouth, Virginia, with omnidirectional transmitting facilities of 2,340 kilowatts peak visual effective radiated power at a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 296 meters. In the *Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration of the Sixth Report and Order* in MM Docket 87-268, adopted February 23, 1998, the Commission assigned DTV Channel 19 to WGNT, with specified parameters of 60.4 kilowatts average effective radiated power (ERP) at 296 meters HAAT, using a directional antenna pattern derived from the omni-directional operation of the WGNT NTSC facility, adjusted for F(50,90) service at UHF.

In the same proceeding, Station WUND-TV, NTSC Channel 2, Columbia, North Carolina, was assigned DTV Channel 20, with specified parameters of 1,000 kilowatts maximum directional ERP at 302 meters HAAT. The WUND-DT reference site is located 101.8 kilometers from the WGNT-DT reference coordinates

Predicted Interference on DTV Channel 19

Since the first-adjacent channel allotments for WGNT-DT and WUND-DT are so close, they are each the most significant interference contributor within the other's service area. Calculations performed using the FCC OET-69 interference prediction methodology, described in detail in Figure 1, indicate that interference would be caused by WGNT-DT, as allotted, to 170,829 persons in the WUND-DT service area, and that interference would be caused by WUND-DT, as allotted, to 3,235 persons in the WGNT-DT service area. In addition, any future improvement of transmitting facilities by either station could be severely constrained by the need to protect the service area of the other.

Channel 50 Allocation Conditions

Due to the very real risk of first-adjacent channel interference within the service areas of both WGNT-DT and WUND-DT, it is proposed to amend the Digital Television Table of Allotments in Section 73.622 of the FCC Rules to substitute Channel 50 in place of Channel 19 at Portsmouth, Virginia, for the use of WGNT-DT. It is proposed to create the new Channel 50 allotment at the same reference location and height as the present Channel 19 allotment, but with a maximized ERP of 800 kilowatts with an omnidirectional antenna. Not only would this proposed change result in less than *de minimus* interference to other stations, as shown in the summary of OET-69 calculation results in Figure 2, but the allocation of Channel 50 at the WGNT-DT reference coordinates would be fully-spaced pursuant to the spacing criteria for new DTV allocations set forth in Section 73.623(d) of the FCC Rules, as shown in Figure 3.

Summary

Changing the channel of the DTV allotment for WGNT-DT from Channel 19 to Channel 50 meets the FCC Rules for protection of NTSC operations and DTV allotments from interference, as well as the spacing rules for new DTV allotments, and would eliminate the potential for interference between WGNT-DT and WUND-DT.

List of Figures

In carrying out these engineering studies, the following attached figures were prepared under my direct supervision:

- 1. TVIXSTUDYTM methodology
- 2. Summary of OET-69 interference study
- 3. Table showing allocation spacing restrictions.

Mark D. Neumann

September 14, 2000

Affidavit

State of California

ss:

County of Sonoma

Mark D. Neumann, being first duly sworn upon oath, deposes and says:

- 1. That he is a qualified engineer and is employed by the firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, with offices located near the city of San Francisco, California,
- 2. That he graduated from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology with a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering in 1986, was employed in the field of electrical engineering at companies including General Motors Corporation and Los Alamos National Labs, and has been associated with the firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., since July 1997,
- 3. That the firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained by Viacom Broadcasting of Seattle Inc., licensee of TV Station WGNT, NTSC Channel 27, Portsmouth, Virginia, to prepare an engineering exhibit in support of its petition for rulemaking to amend the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b), to replace the DTV Channel 19 allotment at Portsmouth with DTV Channel 50,
- 4. That such engineering work has been carried out by him or under his direction and that the results thereof are attached hereto and form a part of this affidavit, and
- 5. That the foregoing statement and the report regarding the aforementioned engineering work are true and correct of his own knowledge except such statements made therein on information and belief and, as to such statements, he believes them to be true.

Mark Neumann

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th day of September, 2000



amy of Miller

TVIXSTUDY™ Analysis Methodology

Implementation of FCC's Interference-Based Allocation Algorithm

On April 21, 1997, the Federal Communications Commission released its Fifth and Sixth Report and Order texts to Mass Media Docket No. 87-268, establishing a final Table of Allotments for the transition from analog NTSC television service to a digital television ("DTV") service. The Commission utilized a complex set of computerized analysis tools to generate the DTV allotment table and added FCC Rules Section 73.623(b)(2), requiring that similar tools be employed to analyze individual DTV station assignments with regard to their potential interference to other DTV stations, DTV allotments, and existing or authorized NTSC facilities. Those tools were described in FCC OET Bulletin No. 69, Longley-Rice Methodology for Evaluating TV Coverage and Interference ("OET-69"), released on July 2, 1997. Subsequent to OET-69, the Commission released, on February 23, 1998, its Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration of the Fifth [and Sixth] Report and Order[s], which made a number of changes to the previous allotment table and modified several of the analysis methods to be employed for studying DTV allotments and potential facility modifications. On August 10, 1998, the Commission published a text, Additional Application Processing Guidelines for Digital Television (DTV), which provided important clarifications and enhancements to the specified analysis methods. Hammett & Edison has developed and refined the TVIXSTUDY computer software to perform FCC-style DTV allocation studies as based on OET-69, its subsequent clarifications, and also upon a detailed examination of the FCC allotment program software source code.

For most NTSC or DTV stations to be studied, the FCC analysis model first determines the location of the conventional F(50,50) Grade B contour of the NTSC station, or of the NTSC station associated with an assigned DTV station, using pattern information contained in the FCC engineering database and an assumed antenna elevation pattern. The model assumes that contour as an envelope, outside of which no protection from interference is implied or afforded. The location of the Grade B contour was used to determine the assigned power for the DTV station, once again using conventional methods found in FCC Rules Section 73.699, Figures 9 and 10, determining the power necessary on a radial basis to generate the associated DTV coverage contour (41 dBu for UHF, 36 dBu for high VHF Channels 7-13, and 28 dBu for low VHF Channels 2-6), for an assigned DTV channel. The maximum power determined using this method was assigned as the DTV operating power, provided it was calculated to be above established minimum power levels; otherwise, a minimum power level was assigned. By the same token, facilities with calculated DTV power levels above the established maximum power levels for a given channel were assigned the maximum power level. The use of this method usually creates a directional DTV antenna replication pattern, even for DTV assignments to presently omnidirectional NTSC TV stations. The FCC requires that a DTV facility employ an antenna design that meets the calculated replication envelope parameters, unless, with a few exceptions, zero or de minimus new interference to other facilities can be demonstrated.

In addition to the use of the Grade B envelope and an assumed directional transmitting antenna for all DTV facilities, the model assumes the use of directive receiving antennas at each studied location, or "cell." The characteristics of the receiving antennas are different, not only for the low

VHF, high VHF, and UHF frequency bands, but also for NTSC and DTV receiving situations; the FCC model specifies that more directive antennas be employed for analysis of DTV reception.

The FCC analysis technique employs terrain-sensitive calculation methods based on Version 1.2.2 of the ITS Irregular Terrain Model, also known as the Longley-Rice model. For each NTSC or DTV station to be studied, a grid of cells, two kilometers on a side, fills the associated Grade B or noise-limited contour. The program first determines which of the cells is predicted to receive service from the associated station, using Longley-Rice analysis with F(50,50) statistical weighting for NTSC and F(50,90) statistical weighting for DTV stations. Cells determined to have no service are not studied for interference from other stations.* Once cells having service are determined, the software analyzes potential interference from other NTSC or DTV stations, again using the Longley-Rice propagation algorithm and defined statistical weighting for all potential interfering signals. Each cell is evaluated, as appropriate, using the desired-to-undesired ratios and methods presented in FCC Rules Section 73.622, 73.623, and 74.706 for each channel relationship, and cells determined to have interference are flagged and excluded from further study, resulting in the generation of net interference-free coverage population totals.

The TVIXSTUDY analysis program employs all of the OET-69 analysis features described above, as well as several other more subtle elements prescribed by the FCC. Additionally, the program allows modeling of implementation scenarios that involve changes to effective radiated power, antenna height, antenna pattern, channel number, and/or transmitter location. TVIXSTUDY also can identify cells that fall in major bodies of water, as based on digitized map data, excluding them from the study. The program is primarily intended to study the effects of existing/potential NTSC or DTV facilities on other DTV or NTSC facilities, as based on desired-to-undesired ratio parameters defined in OET-69. A typical TVIXSTUDY analysis summary includes technical parameters of the proposed DTV or NTSC facility, along with its original (pre-modification) technical parameters, if any. Also included is a listing of each protected DTV and/or NTSC facility or allotment with associated interference-free population tabulations and the unique interference population resulting from operation of the proposed facility. TVIXSTUDY is similar to the program TVCOVSTUDY, which instead predicts the interference-limited coverage of a selected facility.

The results of the OET-69 algorithm are dependent on the use of computer databases, including terrain, population, and FCC engineering records. FCC Rules §0.434(e) specifically disclaims the accuracy of its databases, recommending the use of primary data sources (*i.e.*, paper documents), which is not practical for DTV interference analyses. Further, while Hammett & Edison, Inc. endeavors to follow official releases and established precedents on the matter, FCC policy on DTV analysis methods is constantly changing. Thus, the results of OET-69 interference and coverage studies are subject to change and may differ from FCC results.

It is noted that the Longley-Rice model is not always capable of determining, within certain confidence limits, whether a particular cell has service. In such cases, the Longley-Rice algorithm returns an error code; the FCC method for handling such error codes is to assume that the associated cells have interference-free service and, as such, are not further considered. The Hammett & Edison TVIXSTUDY program reports the number of such error cells for a given study and provides the option of generating a map showing their locations, which may be useful for further review using other propagation analysis tools.



Results of OET-69 Interference Study

Interference analysis tvixstudy 2.3.7

Before case parameters: (same as "Original" below)

After case parameters:

--Modified------ --Original------

Maximum ERP: 800 kW 60.4 kW
Azimuth pattern: omnidirectional DTV1528 (replication)

Orientation: 0.0

Elevation pattern: OET-69 generic OET-69 generic
Service level: 42.0 dBu 39.2 dBu

				Before		After		
Pro	tected station		BasePop 1000s			IX Cl		%Chng
N57	WCVW LIC	RICHMOND, VA	945	4	0.4	4	0.4	0.0
N35	WRLH-TV LIC	RICHMOND, VA	1,095	36	3.3	36	3.3	0.0
N35	WRLH-TV CP	RICHMOND, VA	1,212	67	5.5	67	5.5	0.0
N50	WBDC-TV LIC	WASHINGTON, DC	5,936	2				0.2
N50	WBDC-TV APP	WASHINGTON, DC	5,881	0	0.0	9	0.2	0.2
N49	WPXV LIC	NORFOLK, VA	1,349	0	0.0	20	1.5	1.5
N49	WPXV CP	NORFOLK, VA	1,446	0	0.0	16	1.1	1.1
N49	WPXV APP	NORFOLK, VA			0.1		0.1	0.0
N43	WVBT LIC	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA			0.1	2	0.1	0.0
N43	WVBT CP	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA	1,573	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
N43	WVBT APP	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA		0			0.0	0.0
	WRAZ LIC		1,991					
		RALEIGH, NC						
D49	WRAZ-DT APP	RALEIGH, NC						
D49	WRAZDT allot	RALEIGH, NC	1,972	-1	-0.1	-1	-0.1	0.0
	ERP = 198 kV							
D49	WRAZDT allot	RALEIGH, NC	1,972	-2	-0.1	-2	-0.1	0.0
	ERP = 200 kV							
		ATLANTIC CITY, NJ						
D50	WWACDT allot	ATLANTIC CITY, NJ	203	-367	-181	-367	-181	0.0
	ERP = 200 kV							
N52	960923AB APP	COURTLAND, VA	1,359	5	0.4	5	0.4	0.0

Allocation Spacing Restrictions

Channel	Call Sign	Status	Location	Required Distance	Actual Distance
35	WRLH-TV	CP	Richmond, Virginia	>80.5 km	134.1 km
36	WUNP-TV	Licensed	Roanoke Rapids, N. Carolina	>80.5	135.8
42	WVPY	Licensed	Front Royal, Virginia	>80.5	289.5
43	WVBT	Licensed	Virginia Beach, Virginia	<24.1	16.2
46*	WVFX	Licensed	Clarksburg, West Virginia	>80.5	438.6
47	WRPX	CP	Rocky Mount, N. Carolina	>80.5	173.6
48	WUPN-TV	Licensed	Greensboro, N. Carolina	>80.5	320.6
49	WPXV	Licensed	Norfolk, Virginia	<12.0	3.6
50	WRAZ	Licensed	Raleigh, N. Carolina	>217.3	224.9
51	WBDC-DT	Application	Washington, D.C.	>110.0	243.4
52 [†]	WNJT	Licensed	Trenton, New Jersey	>80.5	415.2
53	WNVT	Licensed	Goldvein, Virginia	>80.5	219.2
54 [‡]	WNUV	Licensed	Baltimore, Maryland	>80.5	276.0
57	WCVW	Licensed	Richmond, Virginia	>80.5	127.5
58	None	Application	Waldorf, Maryland	>80.5	203.3

The open allocation at Lynchburg, Virginia, is scheduled to be deleted.



The open allocation at West Point, Virginia, is scheduled to be deleted.

[†] The open allocations at Courtland, Virginia, and Cumberland, Maryland, are scheduled to be deleted.